

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,985	01/17/2007	Hidemi Ito	Q90839	1012
23373 7590 08/07/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			ROBINSON, ELIZABETH A	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1773	•
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/553,985	ITO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Elizabeth Robinson	1773				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAI	ATION.  ly be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Oc	<u>ctober 2005</u> .					
· <u>·</u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) 5-20 is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 17 January 2007 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original of the original of the original of the original origi	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ obj drawing(s) be held in abeyance ion is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-20-2005,1-17-2007.		Mail Date rmal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 1773

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

Claims 5-20 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 5-20 have not been further treated on the merits.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Okabe et al. (JP 2003-107212). A machine translation of this document is provided with this office action. A formal English translation of this document will be provided with the next office action.

Regarding claims 1 and 2, Okabe (Paragraph 23) teaches a light-diffusing sheet (optical layer 3) that comprises a binder (5) and a light-diffusing agent (6). Okabe (Paragraph 40) further teaches that a metallic-oxide film (4) can be laminated to the front face of optical layer 3. Okabe (Paragraph 11) teaches that the metallic-oxide film is an electromagnetic-wave shielding material, preferably indium tin oxide (ITO), which is excellent in conductivity and transparency. The surface resistivity of the layer is below  $500 \ \Omega/\Box$  (Paragraph 12).

Art Unit: 1773

Regarding claim 3, Okabe (Paragraph 28) teaches that the light-diffusing agent is added to the binder in the ratio of 0.1 to 500 parts of light-diffusing agent to 100 parts of binder. This results in a particle loading of from 0.001 to 83 wt.%. This range fully encompasses the range of the instant claim.

Regarding claim 4, Okabe (Paragraph 23 and Figure 1) teaches that the light-diffusing agent can project from the binder. This results in fine recesses and protrusions being formed on the surface of the light-diffusing sheet.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth Robinson whose telephone number is 571-272-7129. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday 8 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on 571-272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ear

CAROL CHANEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER